Water Permeation through Elastomer Laminates. IV. NBR/EPDM

 TEJRAJ M. AMINABHAVI and LATA S. MANJESHWAR, Department of Chemistry, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580003, India, and
 PATRICK E. CASSIDY, Department of Chemistry, Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas 78666, and Texas Research Institute, Inc., 9063 Bee Cave Road, Austin, Texas 78733

Synopsis

The recent considerable interest in the transport and solubility properties of low-molecular weight penetrants in elastomers arises largely because a number of important practical applications depend wholly or in part on such phenomena. These applications include various protective coatings, packaging materials, selective barriers for the separation of gas and liquid mixtures, biomedical devices, marine applications, etc. The behavior of small molecules in elastomers is strongly dependent on elastomer structure and morphology and any additives to the polymer; therefore, small molecules can be used as very sensitive probes to explore a polymer matrix, especially to gain information about its end applications.

INTRODUCTION

Previous studies¹⁻⁵ were undertaken to assess the water resistivity of laminated membranes to determine the usefulness of such elastomers in undersea applications. An attempt is now made to study the permeability properties of nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR), ethylene propylene diene terpolymer (EPDM), and their laminates. The principal purposes of this paper, therefore, are to discover the permeation behavior of EPDM when laminated with NBR and to compare the properties of this laminate with other laminates of EPDM.

EXPERIMENTAL

NBR, EPDM, and their laminates were generously supplied by Mr. A. Kutac, UTEX Industries, Weimer, Texas. The method of sample preparation is the same as reported earlier.¹ The elastomer compositions are given in Table I. The permeation experiments were performed at 23°, 40°, and 60°C by the cup method (ASTM D1653-72) using distilled water and 3.5% sodium chloride solution. Permeation rates were calculated based on an area of 25 cm².

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A summary of the observed permeation rates and the calculated activation parameters are presented in Table II. The dependence of permeation rates

	Elastomer			
Compound ^a	NBR	EPDM		
Zinc oxide	5	5		
Stearic oxide	1	1		
Sulfur	2	2		
CBTS ^b	1	1		
Hycar 1051	100			
N550 (FEF) carbon black	50	50		
Epcar 585	_	100		

TABLE I								
Elastomer Compositions								

^a Parts per hundred parts of elastomer.

^b N-cyclohexyl-2-benzothiazolesulfonamide.

on temperature is shown in Figures 1 and 2. As found earlier,^{1,4,5} lower activation energies (as calculated from the Arrhenius plot) were obtained for salt water than distilled water and salt water exhibited higher permeation rates than distilled water at all temperatures for all samples. The data for arithmetic mean of the permeation rates of single membranes and that calculated from the Rogers et al.^{6,7} formula are also included in Figures 1 and 2. For both the permeants, the laminates exhibited intermediate values of permeation rates to their individual membranes and the arithmetic mean of the rate was always higher than that of the calculated rate.^{6,7}

When the present results are compared to the data of Neoprene/EPDM¹ and SBR/EPDM⁴ laminates, the water permeation rates of NBR/EPDM system were somewhat lower than the previous two systems involving EPDM. This is expected in that for NBR alone, the observed permeation rates for both salt water and distilled water were smaller than SBR and much smaller than Neoprene. Compared to the bilayer composites studied earlier, lower permeation rates were observed for NBR/EPDM-salt water system, but higher values were seen when the direction of the flow was reversed (i.e., EPDM/NBR).

		Permeation rate (mg-cm/cm ² /day)					Activation energy ^b (kcal/mole)		
Thickness		Salt water at		Distilled water at					
Elastomer	(cm)	23°C	40°C	60°C	23°C	40°C	60°C	Salt water	Distilled water
NBR	0.217	0.017	0.047	0.195	0.009	0.052	0.191	$11.51~\pm~0.9$	14.44 ± 0.4
EPDM	0.214	0.006	0.025	0.092	0.003	0.009	0.055	$12.81~\pm~0.8$	$13.68~\pm~0.7$
NBR/EPDM ^a	0.382	0.010	0.032	0.100	0.005	0.023	0.082	$10.86~\pm~0.9$	$13.14~\pm~0.9$
EPDM/NBR ^a	0.382	0.015	0.04	0.116	0.006	0.030	0.128	$9.55~\pm~0.5$	$14.44~\pm~0.8$

TABLE II Permation Rates and Activation Parameters

^a Direction of flow of permeant through laminates indicated by arrow.

^b Standard error at 95% confidence level.



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of permeation rate of distilled water. Symbols: \bigcirc NBR; \bigcirc EPDM; \bigcirc NBR/EPDM; \blacksquare EPDM/NBR; R = Rogers et al. average (Ref. 7); A = Arithmetic mean.

It therefore appears, that for distilled water, the NBR/EPDM system appears to be a better barrier composite than the earlier bilayers. This system also follows the thesis set forth in paper I of this series that permeation rate is less for the laminate when the direction of permeation is through the more permeable layer first. The more permeable material appears to act as an effective rate-limiting device for the less permeable layer. In the reverse direction, the less permeable layer is encountered first by the water and once this barrier is breached there is little effect by the second layer.

In conclusion, based on the interpretations advanced earlier^{1,4,5} and in accordance with the premises of free volume theories,⁸ it can be inferred that the chemical nature of one of the membranes when compounded with another polymer has a profound influence on their transport properties. However, more systematic and extensive measurements are needed for a variety of systems under different conditions. The present knowledge of



Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of permeation rate of 3.5% salt water. Symbols: \circ NBR; \Box EPDM; \bullet NBR/EPDM; \blacksquare EDPM/NBR; A = Arithmetic mean; R = Rogers et al average (Ref. 7).

these effects is still to a large extent descriptive. One of the greatest needs is for an adequate theoretical scheme which will correlate diverse observed results with a few definitive parameters.

The authors are greeful to the Robert A. Welch Foundation for their support of this study (Grant No. AI-524), and to Mr. A. Kutac of UTEX Industries for supplying the rubber samples.

References

1. P. E. Cassidy, T. M. Aminabhavi, and J. C. Brunson, Rubber Chem. Technol., 56, 357 (1983).

2. P. E. Cassidy, T. M. Aminabhavi, and C. M. Thompson, Rubber Chem. Technol., Rub. Rev., 56, 594 (1983).

3. T. M. Aminabhavi, R. W. Thomas, and P. E. Cassidy, Polym. Eng. Sci., 24, 1417 (1984).

WATER PERMEATION THROUGH ELASTOMER LAMINATES 3723

4. P. E. Cassidy and T. M. Aminabhavi, Rubber Chem. Technol., in press.

- 5. P. E. Cassidy and T. M. Aminabhavi, Polymer, in press.
- C. E. Rogers, V. Stannet, and M. Szwarc, J. Phys. Chem. Wash., 63, 1406 (1959).
 E. C. Rogers, V. Stannet, and M. Szwarc, Ind. Eng. Chem., 49, 1933 (1957).
- 8. J. S. Vrentas and J. L. Duda, AIChE, 25, 1 (1979).

Received July 31, 1985 Accepted August 20, 1985